

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Tetrahedron Letters 47 (2006) 2569–2572

Tetrahedron Letters

## Rhodium catalyzed hydroformylation of 2-phenylsulfonylbicyclo[2.2.1] alkenes: effect of the phenylsulfonyl group

Sergio Cossu,<sup>a,\*</sup> Paola Peluso,<sup>b</sup> Elisabetta Alberico<sup>b</sup> and Mauro Marchetti<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Dipartimento di Chimica, Università Ca' Foscari di Venezia, Dorsoduro 2137, I-30123 Venezia, Italy <sup>a</sup> Distituto di Chimica Biomolecolare ICB CNR sezione di Sassari. Trav. La Crucca 3, Ben, Baldinca, L07040 Li Punt <sup>b</sup>Istituto di Chimica Biomolecolare ICB CNR, sezione di Sassari, Trav. La Crucca 3, Reg. Baldinca, I-07040 Li Punti, Sassari, Italy

> Received 27 December 2005; revised 31 January 2006; accepted 8 February 2006 Available online 24 February 2006

Abstract—The preliminary results of the hydroformylation of 2-phenylsulfonyl substituted norbornene and norbornadiene derivatives catalyzed by the unmodified  $Rh(CO)$ <sub>2</sub>acac system are presented. The reaction, occurring under standard *oxo* conditions, gives polyfunctionalized exo norbornene- and exo norbornanecarboxaldehydes. The effect of the phenylsulfonyl group has been evaluated: it has been found that the steric properties of the sulfonyl substituent, more than the electronic ones, influence the regioselectivity of the process.

© 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Polyfunctionalized building blocks are powerful tools in the synthesis of more complex structures. In this field, oxygenate derivatives, such as aldehydes, play a fundamental role. A series of natural and synthetic bridged polycyclic aldehydes has been found to possess a typical fragrance, constituting valuable ingredients for perfumery (Fig.  $1$ ).<sup>1</sup>

In the last years, the hydroformylation of bridged polycyclic derivatives has been mainly studied from a mech-



of the sandalwood odor)

## Figure 1.

\* Corresponding author. Tel.:  $+39$  (0)41 2348647; fax:  $+39$  (0)41 2348517; e-mail: [cossu@unive.it](mailto:cossu@unive.it)

0040-4039/\$ - see front matter © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2006.02.041

anistic point of view by using simple unfunctionalized model substrates such as, for instance, β-pinene and camphene,<sup>[2](#page-3-0)</sup> norbornene and norbornadiene.<sup>[3](#page-3-0)</sup> Synthetic applications affording copolymers of 7-functionalized norbornadienes and norbornene have been recently reported by Takahashi.<sup>[4](#page-3-0)</sup> Nevertheless, while the multistep approaches to formulate a bridged polycyclic skeleton are, on the basis of the more recent literature, the most widely employed (for instance retrosynthetic pathways  $A-B$  in Scheme 1),<sup>1b</sup> on the contrary, the potentiality of directly introducing a formyl group (C, Scheme 1), despite very attractive, is rarely used for synthetic purposes.

In a previous letter,<sup>[5](#page-3-0)</sup> we reported the synthesis of bridged phenylsulfonyl substituted bicyclo[2.2.1] polyenes through the conversion of  $(Z)$ -vinylsulfones to the



Scheme 1.

Keywords: Hydroformylation; Rhodium; Polycyclic compounds; Sulfones; Alkenes.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>corresponding  $(E)$ -allylsulfones. In this letter, we describe the results of the hydroformylation of a selection of the aforementioned polyenes catalyzed by the unmodified  $Rh(CO)$ -acac system, directly introducing the –CHO group onto the polycyclic skeleton.

Although phosphorus modified catalysts are more extensively used to hydroformylate alkenes, we have chosen to use the unmodified rhodium catalytic precur-sor<sup>[6](#page-3-0)</sup> Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>acac because it appeared very advantageous for our purposes. In fact, this catalyst shows to be active even under mild reaction conditions making other possible competitive pathways, such as hydrogenation, isomerization and polymerization negligible. In addition, the correlation between the structural properties of the alkene and the regioselectivity of the reaction can be evaluated without the interference of phosphorus ligands.

The hydroformylation of the polycyclic alkenes 1, 3 and 5 (Fig. 2) has been carried out in toluene at 60  $\degree$ C, under 50 atm total pressure  $(CO/H_2 = 1:1)$ , employing  $Rh(CO)$ <sub>2</sub>acac (molar alkene/rhodium ratio = 125) as the catalyst precursor. The conversion of the substrate and the composition of the reaction mixtures have been evaluated by NMR spectroscopy. All experiments have



\* In aldehyde **4** the 2-endo/exo ratio remains unchanged in respect of the starting material **3**.



*Reaction Conditions*: Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>acac, toluene, 50 atm H<sub>2</sub>/CO = 1/1, 60 °C, 15 h.

been carried out to complete substrate conversion (15 h). All the crude reaction mixtures have been purified by flash chromatography on silica gel column affording exo aldehydes 2, 4 and 5-exo dialdehyde 6 (Fig. 2) in a chemically pure form  $(2, 35\%; 4, 40\%; 6, 6)$ 30% yields). In this regard it is well documented that electrophilic reactions to bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-enes afford products of exo configuration almost exclusively, because the p-HOMO has the lobes more developed in the *exo* direction than in the *endo* one.<sup>3b,7</sup> Moreover, the cis stereochemistry for the addition of –CHO and –H to the double bond of norbornene or norbornadiene derivatives has been established and documented.3b On the basis of the generally accepted mechanism of the rhodium catalyzed hydroformylation, it is reasonable to propose the catalytic cycle shown in Scheme 2. The rhodium hydride species coordinates the endocyclic double bond of the polycyclic substrate generating the  $\pi$  complex *i*, which is converted to the corresponding alkyl-rhodium species  $\ddot{u}$  through the insertion of the alkene onto the rhodium hydride bond. The alkyl  $\sigma$ complex  $\vec{u}$  evolves to the acyl-rhodium intermediate  $\vec{u}$ which in turn provides the final aldehyde as a mixture of regioisomers a and b. It seems reasonable that the ratio of the regioisomeric alkyl-rhodium species  $\ddot{u}$  is influenced by the steric properties of the phenylsulfonyl group.

3-Methyl-2-phenylsulfonylnorbornadiene 1 contains a double bond which is deactivated and it has proved to be unreactive under the reported hydroformylation conditions. The regioisomeric ratio  $(2a/2b = 2)$  indicates that the sulfonyl group exerts a control on the regioselectivity favouring the rhodium attack at the position C5 of the polycyclic skeleton  $(ii^a$ , Scheme 2). It is known that remote substituents capable to chelate the metal catalyst can be used to control the regioselectivity of the process. In our case, the phenylsulfonyl moiety exerts a steric control on position 6, partially disfavouring there the attack of the rhodium  $(ii^b$ , Scheme 2). In



Scheme 2.

**a**

**b**

**d**



Figure 3.

absence of this sort of steric control, it is reasonable that the electronic effect of the phenylsulfonyl substituent could privilege an opposite regioselectivity (alkyl-rhodium species are represented in Fig. 3).

Considering the hydroformylation of vinylidenic compound 3, the phenylsulfonyl group does not influence the regiochemistry of the reaction of the endocyclic double bond (regioisomeric ratio  $4a/4b = 1$ ) [\(Fig. 2\)](#page-1-0). This value, compared to the regioisomeric ratio of compound 2, reveals that the 'planar phenylsulfonyl moiety' can exert in diene 1 a steric influence on C6, whereas, in case of diene 3, the phenylsulfonyl is situated in endo- or exoposition and it cannot influence the reactivity of C6. In this regard, it is interesting to note that only diene 1 presents a NOE interaction between the *ortho*  $H_{Ar}$  of PhSO<sub>2</sub>– and the H<sub>6</sub> (Fig. 4).<sup>[5](#page-3-0)</sup>

Interestingly, the  $PhSO_2-$  prevents the hydroformylation of the exocyclic double bond, acting non-bonding protecting group. In the hydroformylation of camphene 7 catalyzed by a platinum complex<sup>2a</sup> as well as by rhodium modified and unmodified catalysts,<sup>2b</sup> the exocyclic double bond reacts affording the linear aldehyde (Fig. 5).

Triene 5 contains two endocyclic double bonds, one is electron-rich and the second is deactivated. A third double bond is located in allylic exocyclic position. The hydroformylation products of 5 are the polyfunctionalized dialdehydes 6a,b ([Fig. 2\)](#page-1-0). As shown for compound 1 (Fig. 3), the  $PhSO<sub>2</sub>$  moiety influences the regioselectivity between the C5 and C6. In addition, the contemporary selective hydroformylatation of the exocyclic double bond occurs so forming the linear aldehyde exclusively. Although for the moment we cannot establish why the branched aldehyde is not formed, it is reasonable that steric interactions can be exerted by the polycyclic skeleton as well as by the phenylsulfonyl group onto the exocyclic position C2'.

The structure of either 2a–b, 4a–b and 6a–b has been established by homo (COSY and NOESY) and heteronuclear (HMBC and HMQC) NMR techniques. The assignments of aldehyde 2 are reported in Figure 6.[8](#page-3-0)

On the basis of the NOESY maps of 2a and 2b, the exo position of CHO– group is revealed by the interaction with the apical proton  $H_{7anti}$  (dt; 2a: 1.24 ppm; 2b: 1.19 ppm). Proton  $H_{7syn}$  resonates at lower field (m; 2a: 1.47–1.53 ppm; 2b: 1.41–1.47 ppm), because it is situated internally to the smaller shielding zone generated by the double bond bearing a phenylsulfonyl substituent at position 2. The NOESY map of 2a also evidences appreciable interactions between the formyl proton and both the bridged  $H_4$  and the methyl group (weak); no interaction with the aromatic proton of the phenylsulfonyl group has been observed. Differently, in the case of 2b, the formyl proton interacts with the bridged  $H_1$  and, in addition, with the aromatic protons while no interaction between formyl and methyl protons is evident. Protons  $H_4$  and  $H_{5endo}$  resonate in compound 2a at lower fields than  $2b$  (H<sub>4</sub>, s<sub>b</sub>; 2a: 3.08 ppm; 2b: 2.88 ppm; H<sub>5endo</sub>; 2a: m, 2.32-2.39 ppm; 2b: ddd, 1.37 ppm) due to the presence of the vicinal formyl group. Differently  $H_1$  ( $s_b$ ; 2a: 3.16 ppm; 2b: 3.31 ppm) and  $H_{6endo}$  (2a: ddd, 1.37 ppm; 2b: m, 2.44–2.51 ppm) of 2b resonate at lower fields than 2a because both  $H_1$ and  $H_{6endo}$  of 2b are much more deshielded due to the presence of two electron-withdrawing groups (CHO and  $PhSO_2-$ ). In isomer 2a, the coupling between the formyl proton (d, 9.74 ppm) and  $H_{5 \text{endo}}$  ( $J = 1.6$  Hz) is



Figure 6.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>larger than the analogous coupling between the formyl proton (d, 9.74 ppm) and  $H_{\text{6endo}}$  of 2b ( $J = 0.8$  Hz). In the latter case, the presence of the electron-withdrawing phenylsulfonyl group decreases the electron density, thus providing a lower spin–spin coupling constant.

In conclusion, the main benefit in the use of functionalized 2-phenylsulfonylbicyclo[2.2.1] alkenes as starting material for the described reaction is represented by the possibility to directly produce mono- and dialdehyde derivatives which are promising synthons in organic synthesis. The regioselectivity of the rhodium catalyzed hydroformylation of the endocyclic double bond in position 5 is influenced by the steric hindrance of the phenylsulfonyl group in vinylic 'planar' position 2, whereas it is not affected when the same substituent occupies either the endo- or the exo-position. As a relevant result, the 2-phenylsulfonyl group is able to make unreactive the 3-vinylidenic exocyclic double bond in vicinal position.

## Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by Università Ca' Foscari di Venezia (ex 60% funds).

## References and notes

- 1. (a) Fráter, G.; Bajgrowicz, J. A.; Kraft, P. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 7633–7703; (b) Buchbauer, G.; Stappen, I.; Pretterklieber, C.; Wolschann, P. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2004, 39, 1039–1046.
- 2. (a) Soulantica, K.; Sirol, S.; Koïnis, S.; Pneumatikakis, G.; Kalck, P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1995, 498, C10–C13; (b)

Azzaroni, F.; Biscarini, P.; Bordoni, S.; Longoni, G.; Venturini, E. J. Organomet. Chem. 1996, 508, 59-67; (c) Gusevskaya, E. V.; dos Santos, E. N.; Augusti, R.; Dias, A. O.; Foca, C. M. J. Mol. Cat. A: Chem. 2000, 152, 15–24; (d) Barros, H. J. V.; Ospina, M. L.; Arguello, E.; Rocha, W. R.; Gusevskaya, E. V.; dos Santos, E. N. J. Organomet. Chem. 2003, 671, 150–157.

- 3. (a) Parrinello, G.; Stille, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 7122–7127; (b) Botteghi, C.; Paganelli, S.; Perosa, A.; Lazzaroni, R.; Uccello-Barretta, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1993, 447, 153–157.
- 4. Zhang, S. W.; Kaneko, T.; Takahashi, S. Macromolecules 2000, 33, 6930–6936.
- 5. Cossu, S.; Peluso, P.; Moretto, F.; Marchetti, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 2253–2256.
- 6. Lazzaroni, R.; Settambolo, R.; Caiazzo, A. Hydroformylation with unmodified rhodium catalysts. In Rhodium Catalyzed Hydroformylation; Van Leeuwen, P. W. N. M., Claver, C., Eds.; Kluver Academic: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2000; pp 15–33.
- 7. Inagaki, S.; Fujimoto, H.; Fukui, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 4054–4061.
- 8. Compound 2a: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  1.24 (dt, 1H,  $J = 9.6$  Hz,  $J = 1.2$  Hz,  $H_{7.0pti}$ , 1.37 (ddd, 1H,  $J =$ 12.0 Hz,  $J = 8.8$  Hz,  $J = 2.0$  Hz,  $H_{6endo}$ , 1.47–1.53 (m, 1H,  $H_{7syn}$ , 1.97 (dt, 1H,  $J = 12.0$  Hz,  $J = 4.4$  Hz,  $H_{6exo}$ ), 2.29  $(s, 3H, Me), 2.32-2.39$  (m, 1H,  $H_{5endo}$ ), 3.08 ( $s<sub>b</sub>$ , 1H,  $H<sub>4</sub>$ ), 3.16 (s<sub>b</sub>, 1H, H<sub>1</sub>), 7.48–7.68, 7.88–7.95 (series of m, 5H, Ar), 9.74 (d, 1H,  $J = 1.6$  Hz, CHO); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): d 13.59, 28.83, 44.66, 44.79, 50.82, 52.33, 127.21, 129.24, 133.23, 141.06, 141.44, 157.90, 201.36. Compound **2b**: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  1.19 (dt, 1H,  $J = 9.2$  Hz,  $J = 1.2$  Hz,  $H_{7anti}$ , 1.37 (ddd, 1H,  $J =$ 12.4 Hz,  $J = 8.8$  Hz,  $J = 2.8$  Hz,  $H_{5endo}$ , 1.41–1.47 (m, 1H,  $H_{7syn}$ , 2.13 (dt, 1H,  $J = 12.4$  Hz,  $J = 4.0$  Hz,  $H_{5ex0}$ ), 2.28 (s, 3H, Me), 2.44–2.51 (m, 1H,  $H_{\text{6endo}}$ ), 2.88 (s<sub>b</sub>, 1H, H<sub>4</sub>), 3.31 (s<sub>b</sub>, 1H, H<sub>1</sub>), 7.52–7.65, 7.88–7.93 (series of m, 5H, Ar), 9.74 (d, 1H,  $J = 0.8$  Hz, CHO); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): d 13.99, 26.42, 44.29, 46.15, 50.49, 53.00, 127.16, 129.29, 133.27, 137.84, 141.51, 161.86, 201.52.